

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

MYCHAL ANDRA REED,

Plaintiff,

v.

CSP LAC, *et al.*,

Defendants.

Case No. LA CV 12-10727 VAP (JCG)

**ORDER ACCEPTING REPORT AND  
RECOMMENDATION OF UNITED  
STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE**

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636, the Court has reviewed the Second Amended Complaint (“SAC”), the Magistrate Judge’s Report and Recommendation (“R&R”), Plaintiff’s Objections to the R&R (“Objections”), and the remaining record, and has made a *de novo* determination.

Plaintiff’s Objections generally reiterate the allegations set forth in the SAC, and lack merit for the reasons explained in the R&R. There is one issue, however, that warrants brief amplification here.


In his Objections, Plaintiff alleges that – as a result of his prison disciplinary hearing – *not only* was he placed in the Security Housing Unit, *but also* he lost certain good-time credits. (Objections at 6.) As a result of this additional deprivation, Plaintiff argues that, under *Wolff v. McDonnell*, 418 U.S. 539 (1974), he was entitled

1 to greater due process protections than those initially contemplated by the Magistrate  
2 Judge. (*Id.* at 10.)

3 However, after a review of the record, the Court finds that – even under a higher  
4 due process standard – Plaintiff’s hearing still appears constitutionally sufficient. (*See*  
5 *R&R* at 8-9; *SAC* at 83); *see also Wolff*, 418 U.S. at 553-72.

6 Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED THAT:

- 7 1. The Report and Recommendation is approved and accepted;
- 8 2. Judgment be entered dismissing all of Plaintiff’s claims – except for the  
9 May 17, 2012 excessive force claim – with prejudice and without leave to  
10 amend;
- 11 3. Judgment be entered dismissing this action with prejudice as against  
12 Defendants CSP LAC, Chief M.D. Paulette Finander, and Lt. C. Hughes;
- 13 4. The Clerk serve copies of this Order and the Judgment on the parties; and
- 14 5. The Magistrate Judge order service of the SAC, the R&R, this Order, and  
15 the Judgment upon Defendants C.O. Uribe and the CDCR.

16  
17 

18 DATED: April 22, 2016

19  
20 HON. VIRGINIA A. PHILLIPS  
21 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28